Scott. II-27—40,000—26-1-

Home (1937) Department.

(b)

Ms SERIES.

G.O. No. 3716

DATED 20 9 37

[Despatch Abstract.]

Magistrate Branch Court Mangalore Appointment of Attender (Recommendation) G.O. No. 4152 dated 3.3.37 Declined

Ref.C1-1976-M/37.

S.Kanara Dt.Magistrate's Office,
Mangalore, 25th August 1937.

From

M.R.Ry. Rao Bahadur M. V. Vellodi, Avl., B.A.,
District Magistrate of South Kanara.

30.8.37

To

The Secretary to Government,
Home Department,
M a d r a s.

Sir,

Courts - Bench Court - I Class - Mangalore - Appointment of an attender - proposal.

******

pp 3-6 & Co 3.3.37 Home (R)

In this office letter, Ref.C.2881-M/36, dated 9.12.36 Mr. Crombie requested the Government to sanction the appointment of an attender to the I Class Bench Court, Mangalore; but in G.O.913 Misc.Home, dated 3.3.1937, the Government did not accept the proposal. (R)

2. I have examined the question again. In September 1936 the number of pending cases was 497. In October it was 353. Since then the number of pending cases comes to 135 on the average. The Bench does not sit on all the working days of the week and my intention is to have the Bench sittings on all the days of the week. There are 18 members, 3 of whom have been empowered to take cognisance of offences triable by the Bench. There are two sections now, and a third section can easily be arranged. If this is done, the Bench will be sitting throughout the week each section sitting for only two days. I am sure the pendency will be considerably reduced by this arrangement. Such an arrangement would throw considerable more work on the clerical staff which now consists of only two clerks. The clerks will have to finish each days work in the same day and the work involved in the issue of proceeses would be considerable. To cope with this work I would be justified in asking for an extra clerk but I shall try with an

attender to start with.

3. I therefore request that Government may be pleased to re-consider their decision and sanction the appointment of an attender as already proposed.

27 8 83

For Dt.Magistrate.

27.8.83

Ct. No. 11644 F / 30.8.37

Home (F) Dept.

Establishment – Bench Court, Mangalore – Appointment of an attender

cf From the D M South Kanara Ref C.1-1976-M/37 dated 25.8.37

—

The present establishment of the Bench Court, Mangalore consists of 2 clerks on Rs. 35 60 and one peon on Rs. 12-18 per mensem. In December 1936, the D.M. South Kanara applied for sanction of an attender on Rs. 19-30 and the Hon'ble the Judges of the High Court supported the proposal. But the Govt declined to comply with the D.M's' request. as the number of institutions in the Bench Court did not justify the employment of an attender. The DM requests for a reconsideration of the orders already passed for the reasons mentioned in para 2 of his letter.

2/ Attention is solicited to the Comparative Statement of institutions and the establishment sanctioned for several Bench Courts, on p. 8 of G.O. No 913 Home dated 3.3.37. It will be observed that the institutions in the Bench Courts at Cocanada and Pellicherry are much more than those at Mangalore And the establishment of those 2 Courts consists of only 2 clerks and a peon. There seems to be therefore

V

R

no Case for sanctioning a 3rd Clerk ~~for~~
or an attender to the Bench Court at Mangalore.
Submitted for orders, whether in view of the
DM's statement that the number of pending
Cases comes to 135 on the average, per month,
Orders in Fin 913 Home dated 3.3.37 (R)
will be re-considered. The remarks of
Finance may be invited.

4/9

6.9

There seems to be no case for reconsideration of the orders passed in march 1937 | A See at (R)

15/9

Home No 116447-1/13-5-37.

Finance (Ex)

Submitted that the views of Home at A above may be concurred in and the case returned to Home.

15.9.37 15/9/37

14 SEP 1937

13 SEP 1937

27665 Ex-1/15.9.37

Home Dept

Please see the notes on p 2 ante

A draft order is sent

PBX
18/9/91

18/9/91

C.F. 16.

DRAFT FOR APPROVAL.

7/2019 Date. Initials.

When received for fair copying ..		
When copied ..		
When examined..		
When signed ..		
When despatched.		

SUBJECT:— Magistrates - Bench Court - Mangalore Appointment of Attendant Reconsideration of Go 913 dated 3. 8. 37. Declined

READ AGAIN Go No 913 Home, dated 3. 8. 1937.

" " R " 19.

READ *ALSO*:—

No. 116447 From the D. M Sm. Kanara No. C.1.1976 M/37 dated 25. 8 1937.

" " " " " 19.

" " " " " 19.

Order ~~Resolution~~ Ms. No. 3716, dated 20 9. 19 37

The Govt: ~~Considered that there is reason to reconsider the Case for reconsidering~~ do not see sufficient reason to reconsider the orders passed in Go 913 Home dated 3. 8. 37

PLX 18/9

(R)

To D. M. Sm. Kanara

Dpd by Van Post

Dpr.'s initials R 20/9

Date 19